Cellular Senescence in Acute and Chronic Wound Repair

Holly N. Wilkinson and Matthew J. Hardman

Centre for Atherothrombosis and Metabolic Disease, Hull York Medical School, University of Hull.

Corresponding Authors: h.n.wilkinson@hull.ac.uk, m.hardman@hull.ac.uk

Short Title: Senescence in Wound Repair

Abstract:

Cellular senescence, once thought an artefact of *in vitro* culture or passive outcome of ageing, has emerged as fundamental to tissue development and function. The senescence mechanism importantly halts cell cycle progression to protect against tumour formation, while transiently present senescent cells produce a complex secretome (or SASP) of inflammatory mediators, proteases and growth factors that guide developmental remodelling and tissue regeneration. Transiently present senescence is important for skin repair, where it accelerates extracellular matrix formation, limits fibrosis, promotes re-epithelialisation, and modulates inflammation. Unfortunately, advanced age and diabetes drive pathological accumulation of senescent cells in chronic wounds, which is perpetuated by a pro-inflammatory SASP, advanced glycation end-products and oxidative damage. Though the biology of wound senescence remains incompletely understood, drugs that selectively target senescent cells are showing promise in clinical trials for diverse pathological conditions. It may not be long before senescence-targeted therapies will be available for the management, or perhaps even prevention, of chronic wounds.

Introduction:

Hayflick and Moorhead (1961) first identified cellular senescence when they observed cultured primary human fibroblasts had a finite lifespan, undergoing irreversible cell cycle arrest following a limited number of population doublings. What was originally dismissed as a cell culture artefact is now widely acknowledged as a biological programme that globally regulates cell fate. In the years following Hayflick's discovery of replicative senescence, it emerged that cellular senescence could also be triggered by the activation of oncogenes (Serrano et al. 1997), highlighting the importance of senescence as a robust anti-tumour mechanism. More recently it has transpired that senescent cells are far from passive bystanders, instead displaying diverse phenotypes that contribute to tissue maintenance and dysfunction. In this Chapter, we discuss the diverse roles of cellular senescence in tissue repair

and pathology, highlighting exciting opportunities to develop senescence-targeted therapies to treat or prevent chronic wounds.

Cellular Senescence Pathways

Replicative and oncogenic stimuli are well documented drivers of senescence (Demaria et al. 2015), but senescence can be induced by various other intrinsic and extrinsic stressors including DNA breaks (di Fagagna 2008), oxidative and genotoxic stress (Nair et al. 2015; Erusalimsky 2020), epigenetic damage (Sidler et al. 2017), inflammation (Freund et al. 2010) and mitochondrial dysfunction (Chapman et al. 2019). Following insult, transient cell cycle arrest is induced via the p53/p21^{WAF1/CIP1} axis. If the damage stimulus persists, the p16^{INK4A}/pRB tumour suppressor pathway can be engaged, causing irreversible senescence (He and Sharpless 2017). At the nexus of cell cycle regulation, p53 responds to telomere attrition (di Fagagna et al. 2003; Herbig et al. 2004) and broad damage-induced signals (Ou and Schumacher 2018). Cell fate following DNA damage and p53 activation is context-dependent with subsequently activated signalling pathways directing a cell towards quiescence, programmed cell death (apoptosis) or senescence. A plethora of extrinsic factors sway this response, including the cell type and stress severity, while at the molecular level, elaborate post-translational modifications affect p53 activity and function (Childs et al. 2014; Bourgeois and Madl 2018).

In most cases, p53 activation occurs in a DNA damage response (DDR)-dependent manner, whereby DDR sensors (e.g., ATM) phosphorylate p53 and its ubiquitin ligase, MDM2, leading to p53 stabilisation (Hu et al. 2012). p53 in turn transactivates p21, which governs the switch between proliferation, quiescence and senescence. p21 acts by modulating the expression of many p53 targets (Mijit et al. 2020) and can broadly prevent cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) mediated inactivation of pRb (Bertoli et al. 2013). Importantly, p21 can induce transient growth arrest, because inactivation of the p53-p21 pathway in the absence of p16 reverses senescence (Beausejour et al. 2003). Thus, engagement of the pRB/p16 pathway is often required for irreversible senescence. p16 is one of three tumour suppressors encoded by the INK4A/ARF locus, whose main role is preventing phosphorylation of pRb via CDK4 and CDK6 (Takahashi et al. 2006). pRb binds to E2F family transcription factors, repressing the activation

of E2F target genes required for replication (Giacinti and Giordano 2006). Hence, failure to phosphorylate pRb prevents cell cycle progression. In addition to stimulating pRb-mediated inhibition of cell cycle progression, p53 promotes senescence by directly targeting E2F7, the only E2F family member upregulated during senescence (Aksoy et al. 2012), and a number of p53 responsive miRNAs (Xu et al. 2019). E2F7 and pRB then reinforce repression of E2F target genes by promoting heterochromatisation of E2F-responsive elements via recruitment of histone deacetylases and histone methyltransferases (Martínez-Zamudio et al. 2017).

While all forms of growth arrest are characterised by the presence of hypophosphorylated pRb family members (He and Sharpless 2017), there remain considerable differences between cells undergoing senescence versus those that become quiescent or terminally differentiated. Unlike cell quiescence, where cells enter cell cycle arrest in G0 and can reenter at any time in response to mitogenic signals (Terzi et al. 2016), cellular senescence occurs at G1, G1/S and even G2 phases of the cell cycle (Blagosklonny 2011). Terminally differentiated cells develop specialised identities in response to developmental programming, whereas senescence is a fate shared by many cell types, often as a result of a sustained DDR (Chandler and Peters 2013). There are instances where the demarcations are less clear, because senescence is known to play a role in developmental processes (Muñoz-Espín et al. 2013; Storer et al. 2013), and terminally differentiated cells can undergo senescence (Jurk et al. 2012; Moreno-Blas et al. 2019), suggesting active inhibition of cell cycle is not always required for senescence.

In non-pathological states, senescent cells are typically removed from the body via apoptosis and immune clearance mechanisms. Following senescence induction, senescent cells undergo immunogenic conversion, producing a secretome of factors collectively known as the senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP; Burton and Faragher 2015). The SASP can include a range of inflammatory chemokines that attract and activate various subsets of immune cells depending on their chemokine receptor repertoires (Acosta et al. 2013). This response thus crucially leads to elimination of senescent cells by virtue of the immune system. Indeed, various immune cell types, including Natural Killer (NK) cells, T cells, neutrophils and macrophages, are known to be involved in immune-mediated clearance of senescent cells (summarised in Sagiv and Krizhanovsky 2013).

Characterising Cellular Senescence - Detection

Reliable biomarkers for senescence detection are essential given its major role in aging and disease. However, detection of senescent cells remains challenging in the real-world setting for a number of reasons: 1) Senescence is context-dependent and cell type specific (Kirschner et al. 2020); 2) Senescence is highly dynamic with different markers associated with early and late stages (Mijit et al. 2020) and; 3) Several senescence markers are shared with other growth arrested states (Itahana et al. 2007). It is important to acknowledge that the individual senescence markers outlined in the following paragraphs will not be a feature of all senescent cells. Therefore, it is essential that more than one senescence marker is present for a cell to be identified as senescent.

Senescent cells possess a range of morphological, functional and molecular characteristics that can be influenced by intrinsic and extrinsic cues (Figure 1). Typically, senescent cells take on a flattened, elongated appearance with enlarged vacuoles and nuclei (Wang and Dreesen 2018; Neurohr et al. 2019). They exhibit heterochromatin at E2F promoters, termed senescence-associated heterochromatin foci (SAHF), which potentiate senescence by preventing E2F target gene transcription (Narita et al. 2003). SAHF are relatively easy to visualise as they stain notably with DAPI (Kosar et al. 2011) but are not useful for senescence detection in murine cells which are unable to produce robust SAHF (Aird and Zhang 2013). Histone loss and cytoplasmic chromatin fragments (positive for yH2AX and H3K9me3) have likewise been observed in vitro following replicative and oncogene-induced senescence (Ivanov et al. 2013). This observation suggests a compromised nuclear envelope, and is supported by loss of lamin B1, a major structural nuclear envelope protein, following senescence in vitro and in vivo (Shah et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2017; Saito et al. 2019). Given that persistent DNA damage is a primary cause of senescence, it is not surprising that senescent cells exhibit markers of DNA damage, such as yH2AX and ATM kinase, with reduced expression of DNA repair genes (Collin et al. 2018). However, DDR markers have been suggested to be of limited utility for in vivo senescence detection, where the majority of cells with a DDR are responding to reparable damage (Herranz and Gil 2018).

Senescence-associated beta galactosidase (SA-βGal) is the archetypical senescence biomarker, due to its expression across a broad range of senescent cell types and aged tissues (Dimri et al. 1995; Debacq-Chainiaux et al. 2009; Covarrubias et al. 2020). The lysosomal hydrolase detected by SA-BGal, B-D-galactosidase, is ordinarily detected in non-senescent cells at pH 4. However, senescence causes expansion of the lysosomal compartment, allowing detection of B-D-galactosidase at higher pH (Kuilman et al. 2010). Despite its wide use as a senescence biomarker, there remains controversy around the specificity of SA-βGal, with suggested non-specific staining of skin appendages in vivo and quiescent cells in vitro (Krishna et al. 1999; Cristofalo 2005; Lee et al. 2006). Prolonged incubation can also lead to nonspecific staining (González-Gualda et al. 2019), while the staining itself requires fresh tissue. Sudan Black B, which stains age-associated lipofuscin, has been recommended as an alternative to SA-βGal that can be used on archived tissues (Georgakopoulou et al. 2013). Nevertheless, SAβGal staining remains the most extensively used method for detecting senescent cells, with commercial SA-βGal staining kits widely available. Note, in a recent study, Chia et al. (2021) failed to detect SA-βGal in young or aged human skin or acute wound tissue, despite observing upregulation of other senescence markers following injury.

Arguably the most specific marker of senescence *in vivo* is p16, accumulating with age in a variety of tissues (Jeyapalan et al. 2007; Hall et al. 2016; Hudgins et al. 2018). However, not all tissues show age-dependent accumulation of p16 (Idda et al. 2020), senescence can occur in a p16-independent manner (Prieur et al. 2011), and some cancer cells express p16 (Romagosa et al. 2011). In addition, p16 has been suggested as a characteristic of "normal" immunological phenotypes, such as macrophage polarisation (Hall et al. 2017) and T cell exhaustion (Sharpless and Sherr 2015). Other methods to detect senescence include the absence of proliferation (Biran et al. 2017), the presence of a SASP (Coppé et al. 2008), loss of lamin B1 (Freund et al. 2012), SAHF (Aird and Zhang 2013) and DNA damage markers (Wang et al. 2009; Hootan and Evans 2017). Given the variability in senescence phenotype/markers (Wang et al. 2009; Idda et al. 2020), combinatorial approaches to validate senescence in tissues are preferred, such as using SA- β GAL with proliferation markers (Itahana et al. 2013; Biran et al. 2017) or in conjunction with p16 and p21 staining (Ritschka et al. 2017).

Characterising Cellular Senescence – Outcomes

It is now widely accepted that senescent cells actively contribute to progressive tissue dysfunction. One major feature of senescent cells, important in the context of the tissue microenvironment, is their complex secretome, termed a SASP (Coppé et al. 2008). The SASP, like senescence, is a dynamic process regulated by factors such as Notch1 (Hoare et al. 2016) and established in a temporal and situation-dependent manner (Basisty et al. 2020). The SASP can include proinflammatory mediators, proteases, extracellular matrix (ECM) components and growth factors (Coppé et al. 2008; Freund et al. 2010; Elzi et al. 2012). Lipids and exosomal cargo are also important SASP components (Basisty et al. 2020; Wallis et al. 2020; Narzt et al. 2021). Interestingly, damage-associated molecular patterns, such as HMGB1 and specific toll-like receptors, are required for SASP induction (Davalos et al. 2013; Hari et al. 2019).

SASP factors not only reinforce cell cycle arrest in an autocrine manner (e.g., Acosta et al. 2008), but exacerbate inflammation, accelerate tissue breakdown and promote paracrine induction of senescence (Acosta et al. 2013; Davalos et al. 2013; Severino et al. 2013). Indeed, the pro-inflammatory SASP feature of senescent cells is often a DDR, controlled at the transcriptional level by NFkB (Rodier et al. 2009), C/EBP (Shao et al. 2016), mTOR (Herranz et al. 2015), p38MAPK (Freund et al. 2011) and Gata4 (Kang et al. 2015). Notably, the SASP can also be beneficial in particular situations, reinforcing senescence (Acosta et al. 2008), promoting senescent cell clearance (Eggert et al. 2016), preventing tumours (Lujambio et al. 2013) and aiding tissue repair (Demaria et al. 2014). While the SASP has clear implications for tissue homeostasis and pathology, understanding the context-dependent diversity of the SASP remains a key challenge.

Senescence from Embryogenesis to Tissue Ageing

It is well established that senescence is a dynamic stress response, evolved to prevent incipient neoplastic transformation (Campisi and d'Adda di Fagagna 2007). Tens of thousands of DNA alterations occur in an individual cell per day (Jackson and Bartek 2009). Therefore, along with other proofreading mechanisms, senescence is crucial to avert unrestrained proliferation of mutated cells. In young organisms, this process is highly efficient, with resulting senescent cells effectively cleared by the immune system. However, cellular

senescence is often considered a double-edged sword because as we age this process becomes perturbed, resulting in disease (Kowald et al. 2020).

Intriguingly, senescence has emerged as far more than an anti-cancer mechanism, or outcome of advanced cellular age. Indeed, it has now been shown to play diverse roles in the development and maintenance of tissues. Seminal publications documented senescent cell accumulation in the signalling hubs of murine and human embryos at restricted time windows (Muñoz-Espín et al. 2013; Storer et al. 2013). Detailed evaluation revealed the developmental importance of p21-dependent senescence, whereby senescent cells directed macrophagemediated clearance and embryonic remodelling (Muñoz-Espín et al. 2013; Storer et al. 2013). Senescence and macrophage-mediated clearance has since been shown to be important for development of the inner ear in mice and chickens (Gibaja et al. 2019), and in the patterning of kidney, cement gland and brain of amphibians (Davaapil et al. 2017; Villiard et al. 2017). Senescence may be vital even earlier in development, as extravillous trophoblasts, required for placenta formation, lose their replicative potential and develop a SASP following invasion into the uterine lining (Velicky et al. 2018). Senescent cells are subsequently cleared from the endometrium by uterine NK cells (Brighton et al. 2017). These studies open questions around the origins of senescence, and whether its links to development (and tissue repair) precede its anti-tumorigenic role. However, the degree of conservation of senescence programming, and its functional requirements during development, remain largely unknown.

In contrast to ageing and pathology, where senescence is a stochastic damage response, senescence observed during development is instead a highly organised transient process with complimentary apoptotic and immune clearance mechanisms. At face value, it appears that transiently present and pathological senescence are dichotomous. A key factor governing the switch between beneficial and detrimental states appears to be effective immune-mediated clearance (Rhinn et al. 2019). During transiently present senescence observed during development (Storer et al. 2013), regeneration (Yun et al. 2015) and wound healing (Demaria et al. 2014), senescent cells are removed by macrophages, neutrophils, T lymphocytes and NK cells (Xue et al. 2007; Song et al. 2020). Immune cells are able to locate senescent cells by the factors they secrete (Iannello et al. 2013; Sagiv et al. 2013), controlled at the epigenetic level by BRD4 (Tasdemir et al. 2016). Senescent cells also express stimulatory ligands that bind the

NKG2D receptor and activate killing by T cells (Sagiv et al. 2016). In mouse tissues, developmental senescence appears to be solely modulated via p21, independent of p16 (Muñoz-Espín et al. 2013; Storer et al. 2013). However, similar to DDR linked senescence, developmental senescence and SASP requires TGFβ/SMAD signalling (Muñoz-Espín et al. 2013; Tominaga and Suzuki 2019).

The processes underpinning senescence accumulation in aged tissues are also not fully understood. Initial induction is likely mediated by replicative exhaustion, shortening of telomeres and activation of senescence pathways (Reaper et al. 2004). Subsequently, advanced age is associated with long-term exposure to intrinsic and extrinsic damage signals. At the molecular level, ageing perturbs the developmental machinery responsible for repressing senescence such that the INK/ARF locus loses repressive marks, hence increasing p16 sensitivity to induction (Martin et al. 2014). This combination of increased susceptibility and continuous damage signals heighten senescence onset, which is then reinforced in an intracrine manner by the production of a pro-inflammatory SASP (Acosta et al. 2008; Kuilman et al. 2008; Martien et al. 2013; Hsieh et al. 2017). Additionally, the SASP can potentiate senescence to the neighbouring microenvironment in a paracrine manner by activating a number of receptor pathways, including CCR2 (Acosta et al. 2013), TGFBR1 (Acosta et al. 2013; Bird et al. 2018; Ferreira-Gonzalez et al. 2018) and CXCR2 (Wilkinson et al. 2019a). The agerelated SASP differs from developmental SASP, containing secreted factors known to drive widespread inflammation and tissue destruction. Ironically, the age-related SASP is also rich in potent mitogenic drivers, including proteases, growth factors and cytokines, which can enhance tumorigenesis (Coppé et al. 2008; Yoshimoto et al. 2013; Eggert et al. 2016). Consequently, a mechanism selected for its beneficial anti-cancer effects in the young can become maladaptive in later life. The diverse roles of senescence are summarised in Figure 2.

Another reason senescent cells accumulate during ageing is impairment in clearance mechanisms, such as redistribution of NK cell subtypes (Solana et al. 2014; Sagiv et al. 2016; Ovadya et al. 2018). It is widely acknowledged that ageing causes dysfunction to both the innate and adaptive immune systems, termed immunosenescence (Song et al. 2020). Some senescent cells evade NK- and CD8+ T cell-mediated clearance by expressing high levels of

HLA-E, which bind the inhibitory receptor NK2GA (Pereira et al. 2019). Others shed MICA and MICB to avoid detection by NKG2D (Muñoz et al. 2019). Moreover, age decreases expression of NKG2A in NK cells, thus reducing clearance mechanisms (Lutz et al. 2005). The SASP may also aid senescent cell evasion from immune clearance in certain contexts (Ruhland et al. 2016; Pereira et al. 2019).

The detrimental role of senescence during ageing is well established, where elevated numbers of senescent cells are associated with reduced tissue functionality in mice (Molofsky et al. 2006; Ovadya et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2018; Palmer et al. 2019; Cai et al. 2020) and humans (Justice et al. 2018; Gustafson et al. 2019), while the pro-inflammatory SASP contributes to many pathologies (Xu et al. 2015; Oubaha et al. 2016). Direct evidence comes from studies where transplantation of senescent cells to young mice induces disease states, such as osteoarthritis (Xu et al. 2017) and lower physical activity (Xu et al. 2018), likely via enhanced paracrine induction of senescence (da Silva et al. 2019). By contrast, selective removal of senescent cells is known to alleviate many age-related pathologies and extend lifespan in experimental models (Baker et al. 2011; Baker et al. 2016; Hashimoto et al. 2016; Roos et al. 2016; Ogrodnik et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2018; Yousefzadeh et al. 2018).

Senescence in Wound Repair and Regeneration

When a tissue repairs or regenerates, it re-uses processes associated with development and morphogenesis. This is true for senescence, where the SASP can enable reprogramming of cells to a stem cell-like fate following tissue injury (Mosteiro et al. 2016; Chiche et al. 2017) or during regeneration (Yun et al. 2015; Ritschka et al. 2017). The parallels between development and tissue regeneration are clear. Senescence is tightly regulated to aid limb regeneration in the salamander, with effective clearance mediated by macrophages, even following multiple rounds of amputation and regeneration (Yun et al. 2015). Senescence, mediated via Ccn1, is also required for regeneration of the embryonic murine heart (Feng et al. 2019), while ablation of senescent cells in zebrafish abrogates pectoral fin regeneration (Da Silva-Álvarez et al. 2020).

The sophisticated host response to injury can be described as four overlapping phases: haemostasis, inflammation, proliferation and remodelling (Eming et al., 2014; Gurtner et al., 2008). Each of these stages requires a temporal and dynamic interplay between various signalling cascades and cell types, where the role of senescence remains less well understood (Wilkinson and Hardman 2020a). The processes that occur during wound healing involve many mitogenic factors that enable partial epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in keratinocytes to aid wound closure, and rapid proliferation of fibroblasts to restore the dermal matrix. Additionally, the complex population of immune cells provide an environment enriched for secreted factors that promote plasticity (Shaw and Martin 2016). Given the close links to cancer, developmental remodelling and regeneration, it is perhaps unsurprising that research is now uncovering vital roles for transient presence of senescence in tissue repair.

There is now strong evidence that induction of transiently present senescence is able to prevent excessive fibrosis following injury in multiple murine tissues (Krizhanovsky et al. 2008; Jun and Lau 2010; Meyer et al. 2016). Pivotal studies revealed that transiently present senescence occurs during murine skin wound repair, and that Ccn1 and Ccn2 are important drivers of this response (Jun and Lau 2010, 2017). Intriguingly, Ccn1 has also been reported as a pattern recognition receptor vital to prevent wound infection (Jun and Lau 2020). Demonstration that transiently present senescence is beneficial to healing was provided by Demaria et al. (2014), where specific ablation of p16- and p21-expressing cells significantly delayed cutaneous wound closure and reduced ECM deposition. Here, injury-induced senescent cells produced a PDGF-AA-rich SASP, crucial for stimulating myofibroblast differentiation and enabling effective healing. More recently, Hiebert et al. (2018) showed that Nrf2-triggered induction of senescence in fibroblasts accelerated both reepithelialisation and ECM deposition. Transiently present senescence is not confined to skin wounds, with reported observations during corneal (Wang et al. 2019) and lung (Kobayashi et al. 2020) injury. In acute lung injury, p21 activation limits apoptosis and ameliorates tissue damage (Blazquez-Prieto et al. 2021).

The above investigations provide new insight into the importance of transiently present senescence during tissue repair. However, many questions remain unanswered. At what point do cells become susceptible to acute injury-induced senescence? Which cell types and why?

How are these cells effectively cleared to prevent the switch to a chronic state? It is likely that injury causes the release of a myriad of factors stimulating senescence induction, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS; Jun and Lau 2010; Passos et al. 2010), with others still to be identified. Effective clearance likely occurs by virtue of the diverse inflammatory profile of wounds (Wilkinson and Hardman 2020a), yet uncertainties remain around specificity and regulation. Finally, a major limitation of existing studies is that they are almost exclusively limited to *in vivo* models of wound repair, and we currently have limited understanding of how these observations will translate to human healing. In a recent proof-of-concept study, Chia et al. (2021) demonstrated that p21 and p53, but not p16, were induced in acute wound repair in young subjects, while neither p21, p53 or p16 were induced in older subjects.

Senescence in Chronic Wound Healing

Unlike internal organs, senescence in the skin is induced by a combination of intrinsic chronological ageing, and external factors, such as ultraviolet radiation exposure (Rittié and Fisher 2015). Indeed, the skin is characterised by a dense matrix of structural proteins, with degradation and remodelling of this ECM leading to loss of physiological and biomechanical integrity (Wilkinson and Hardman 2021a). Ultraviolet radiation is widely reported to induce skin senescence by increasing ROS levels (Herrling et al. 2006; Jenkins et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2017), with ageing epidermis and dermis both characterised by increased p16 and p21 positive cells (Ressler et al. 2006; Waaijer et al. 2012; Idda et al. 2020). Aged skin additionally exhibits loss of lamin b1 (Dreesen et al. 2013), shortened telomeres (particularly in the epidermis, Sugimoto et al. 2006), increased mutations in mitochondrial DNA (Berneburg et al. 1997) and an elevated SASP, including MMPs (Quan et al. 2009) and PAI-1 (Goldstein et al. 1994; Baker et al. 2008). Factors contributing to the age-related accumulation of SASP include higher levels of histone variant H2A.J in the epidermis, which promotes inflammation (Contrepois et al. 2017), and higher numbers of immunosuppressive cell types, reducing senescent cell clearance (Ruhland et al. 2016).

An important characteristic of skin is the high turnover of epidermal keratinocytes, essential to maintain the skin barrier (and repair epidermal damage). This high renewal capacity is aided by stem cell niches in the epidermal basal layer, hair follicles and sebaceous glands

(Pincelli and Marconi 2010; Donati and Watt 2015). Sebaceous gland function declines with age (Zouboulis et al. 2008), causing decreased production of enzymes required to synthesise long-chain fatty acids and cholesterol (Seyfarth et al. 2011). Age-associated loss of lipids and reduced keratinocyte renewal lead to an impaired skin barrier. Aged keratinocytes show altered cell cycle kinetics and lower proliferation rates (Giangreco et al. 2008; Charruyer et al. 2009), coinciding with accumulation of senescence (Zou et al. 2021). Intriguingly, hair follicle stem cell abundance is not altered with chronological age in mice (Giangreco et al. 2008), yet aged hair follicle stem cells possess lower chromatin accessibility, which is linked to decreased renewal capacity (Koester et al. 2021). The ability to regenerate tissues and repair injuries declines with age throughout the body (e.g., in the muscle; Jang et al. 2011; Sousa-Victor et al. 2014), while an age-related increase in p16 is associated with reduced stem cell capacity in the brain, kidney, haemopoietic system and other tissues (Janzen et al. 2006; Krishnamurthy et al. 2006; Molofsky et al. 2006).

Age-associated decline in skin barrier increases susceptibility to injury and infection. Thus, it is unsurprising that age is a major risk factor for the development of chronic, non-healing wounds, with high morbidity and mortality in patients (Guest et al. 2015; Han and Ceilley 2017). A second key risk factor for chronic wound development is diabetes. Diabetes is also closely linked to senescence as hyperglycaemia accelerates the formation of advanced glycation end-products, triggering oxidative damage and driving unrestrained inflammation (Stegenga et al. 2008; Fang et al. 2016; Moura et al. 2019; Wilkinson and Hardman 2021b). It has been known for more than twenty years that fibroblasts isolated from chronic wounds are predisposed to senescence (Mendez et al. 1998; Vande Berg et al. 1998; Agren et al. 1999; Stanley and Osler 2001). However, there has been little attempt to determine the molecular and cellular drivers of pathological wound senescence, nor to functionally demonstrate a link to poor healing outcome. We recently reported that diabetic macrophages are susceptible to senescence, showing that they delay healing in a non-aged murine model of diabetic wound repair (Wilkinson et al. 2019a). Interestingly, this process was modulated by CXCR2, an important senescence mediator (Acosta et al. 2008).

A hallmark of chronic wounds is prolonged and excessive local inflammation, where bacterial colonisation and impaired cell behaviours combine to drive extensive immune cell

recruitment and retention (Wilkinson and Hardman 2020b). This provides an optimum environment for rapid senescence induction. For example, neutrophils produce high levels of ROS which cause paracrine induction of senescence in neighbouring fibroblasts by telomere shortening (Lagnado et al. 2021). Chronic wounds also display elevated cytokines and chemokines, key SASP components that skew macrophages towards a pro-inflammatory state (Lujambio et al. 2013). A range of local factors, including pathogenic bacterial products (Muller et al., 2009; Elsayed et al. 2021) and tissue iron (Sindrilaru et al. 2011; Wilkinson et al. 2019b), likely reinforce chronic wound senescence by contributing to unresolved inflammation and perturbed immune cell function. Chronic wound milieu, which induces cellular perturbations associated with chronicity, such as epidermal hyperproliferation (Stojadinovic et al. 2008) and reduced angiogenesis (Lauer et al. 2000), has been shown to directly induce senescence in neonatal fibroblasts (Mendez et al. 1999).

What remains somewhat perplexing is that senescence and the SASP naturally induce pluripotency and regenerative capacity, yet during tissue ageing they contribute to inflammation and pathology (summarised in **Figure 3**). It could be this disparity reflects differences in the level and persistence of the response. This has been demonstrated recently, where short-term senescence induction in keratinocytes induced pluripotency and regeneration in skin grafts, while prolonged exposure to the SASP reduced pluripotency and increased numbers of p16+ve senescent cells (Ritschka et al. 2017). Conversely, the SASP itself could change over time in a context-dependent manner. For example, Hoare et al. (2016) showed that fibroblast SASP is characterised by a Notch-high early phase, and Notch-low late phase. The early phase was TGFβ-dependent and associated with tissue regeneration and immunosuppression, while the late phase was NFkB-dependent and linked to inflammation.

From a clinical perspective, extensive chronic wound recalcitrance highlights an urgent need to improve intervention strategies. While we are far from fully understanding the contribution of senescence to chronic wounds, studies into the role of senescence in other pathologies could provide a timely opportunity to re-purpose new and existing therapies. Indeed, the outcomes of senescent cell ablation using genetic models (Baker et al. 2011, 2016) have now been confirmed using drugs that selectively target cellular senescence, referred to as senolytics (Kirkland and Tchkonia 2020). Senolytics can act upon senescence machinery,

for example by targeting the pro-survival pathways (BCL-2 and others) that provide senescent cells with apoptosis resistance (Zhu et al. 2015; Chang et al. 2016; Hohmann et al. 2019). Elimination of senescent cells using senolytics in these models alleviated many age-related diseases and restored tissue function. Moreover, a BCL-2 family inhibitor reduced epidermal senescence and promoted hair follicle stem cell proliferation in mice with epidermal overexpression of p14^{Arf} (Yosef et al. 2016). Another potential strategy is to target the SASP by blocking NFkB nuclear translocation (Moiseeva et al. 2013), inhibiting the JAK/STAT pathway (Xu et al. 2015; Farr et al. 2017) or suppressing BRD4 (Tasdemir et al. 2016).

To date, the majority of studies using senolytics to target chronic senescence have been preclinical. Therapeutic intervention for human disease is complex, particularly as most elderly patients suffer multimorbidity, presenting with two or more conditions (Guisado-Clavero et al. 2018). As chronic wounds primarily affect the elderly and/or diabetic, compatibility with other treatments must be considered to prevent contraindication or reduced efficacy. Despite these limitations, many senolytics are FDA-approved cancer drugs or natural products, making the clinical pathway for wound repurposing highly attractive. Indeed, a handful of recent clinical trials are starting to suggest benefit of senolytics in other chronic indications, such as patients with diabetic kidney disease (Hickson et al. 2019) or idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (Justice et al. 2019), while others are underway (summarised in Robbins et al. 2021).

Concluding Remarks

Understanding of cellular senescence has progressed rapidly since the concept was first proposed in the 1960s. A process once thought to be an artefact of cell culture is now known to be essential for tumour suppression, developmental reprogramming, regeneration and wound repair. While the presence of transient senescence is beneficial for tissue maintenance, excessive senescence, as a result of age-related accumulation and defective immune clearance, contributes to many disease states. Although we are still a long way from unravelling the role of senescence in poor wound healing, preliminary *in vivo* studies have revealed the therapeutic potential of targeting senescence to promote wound repair. When coupled with emerging efficacy data from senolytic clinical trials in other chronic indications,

it is clear that a senolytic-based strategy for chronic wounds treatment could be a reality in the not so distant future.

Acknowledgments

No direct funding to declare.

References

Acosta JC, Banito A, Wuestefeld T, Georgilis A, Janich P, Morton JP, Athineos D, Kang TW, Lasitschka F, Andrulis M, et al. 2013. A complex secretory program orchestrated by the inflammasome controls paracrine senescence. *Nat Cell Biol* **15**: 978-990. doi: 10.1038/ncb2784

Acosta JC, O'Loghlen A, Banito A, Guijarro MV, Augert A, Raguz S, Fumagalli M, Da Costa M, Brown C, Popov N, et al. 2008. Chemokine signaling via the CXCR2 receptor reinforces senescence. *Cell* **133**: 1006-1018. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.038

Agren MS, Steenfos HH, Dabelsteen S, Hansen JB, Dabelsteen E. 1999. Proliferation and mitogenic response to PDGF-BB of fibroblasts isolated from chronic venous leg ulcers is ulcerage dependent. *J Invest Dermatol* **112**: 463–469. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1747.1999.00549.x

Aird KM, Zhang R. 2013. Detection of senescence-associated heterochromatin foci (SAHF). *Methods Mol Biol* **965**: 185-196. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-239-1_12

Aksoy O, Chicas A, Zeng T, Zhao Z, McCurrach M, Wang X, Lowe SW. 2012. The atypical E2F family member E2F7 couples the p53 and RB pathways during cellular senescence. *Genes Dev* **26**: 1546-1557. doi: 10.1101/gad.196238.112

Baker DJ, Childs BG, Durik M, Wijers ME, Sieben CJ, Zhong J, Saltness RA, Jeganathan KB, Verzosa GC, Pezeshki A, et al. 2016. Naturally occurring p16 Ink4a-positive cells shorten healthy lifespan. *Nature* **530**: 184-189. doi: 10.1038/nature16932

Baker DJ, Wijshake T, Tchkonia T, LeBrasseur NK, Childs BG, Van De Sluis B, Kirkland JL, Van Deursen JM. 2011. Clearance of p16 Ink4a-positive senescent cells delays ageing-associated disorders. *Nature* **479**: 232-236. doi: 10.1038/nature10600

Basisty N, Kale A, Jeon OH, Kuehnemann C, Payne T, Rao C, Holtz A, Shah S, Sharma V, Ferrucci L, et al. 2020. A proteomic atlas of senescence-associated secretomes for aging biomarker development. *PLoS Biol* **18**: e3000599. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000599

Beauséjour CM, Krtolica A, Galimi F, Narita M, Lowe SW, Yaswen P, Campisi J. 2003. Reversal of human cellular senescence: roles of the p53 and p16 pathways. *EMBO J* **22**: 4212-4222. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg417

Berneburg M, Gattermann N, Stege H, Grewe M, Vogelsang K, Ruzicka T, Krutmann J. 1997. Chronically ultraviolet-exposed human skin shows a higher mutation frequency of mitochondrial DNA as compared to unexposed skin and the hematopoietic system. *Photochem Photobiol* **66**: 271-275. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.1997.tb08654.x

Bertoli C, Klier S, McGowan C, Wittenberg C, de Bruin RA. 2013. Chk1 inhibits E2F6 repressor function in response to replication stress to maintain cell-cycle transcription. *Curr Biol* **23**: 1629-1637. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.06.063

Biran A, Zada L, Abou Karam P, Vadai E, Roitman L, Ovadya Y, Porat Z, Krizhanovsky V. 2017. Quantitative identification of senescent cells in aging and disease. *Aging Cell* **16**: 661-671. doi: 10.1111/acel.12592

Bird TG, Müller M, Boulter L, Vincent DF, Ridgway RA, Lopez-Guadamillas E, Lu WY, Jamieson T, Govaere O, Campbell AD, et al. 2018. TGFβ inhibition restores a regenerative response in acute liver injury by suppressing paracrine senescence. *Sci Transl Med* **10**: eaan1230. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aan1230

Blagosklonny MV. 2011. Cell cycle arrest is not senescence. *Aging (Albany NY)* **3**: 94-101. doi: 10.18632/aging.100281

Blázquez-Prieto J, Huidobro C, López-Alonso I, Amado-Rodriguez L, Martín-Vicente P, López-Martínez C, Crespo I, Pantoja C, Fernandez-Marcos PJ, Serrano M, et al. 2021. Activation of p21 limits acute lung injury and induces early senescence after acid aspiration and mechanical ventilation. *Transl Res* **233**: 104-116. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2021.01.008

Bourgeois B, Madl T. 2018. Regulation of cellular senescence via the FOXO 4-p53 axis. *FEBS Lett* **592**: 2083-2097. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.13057

Brighton PJ, Maruyama Y, Fishwick K, Vrljicak P, Tewary S, Fujihara R, Muter J, Lucas ES, Yamada T, Woods L, et al. 2017. Clearance of senescent decidual cells by uterine natural killer cells in cycling human endometrium. *eLife* **6**: e31274. doi: 10.7554/eLife.31274

Burton DGA, Faragher RGA. 2015. Cellular senescence: from growth arrest to immunogenic conversion. *Age* **37**: 1-19. doi: 10.1007/s11357-015-9764-2

Cai Y, Zhou H, Zhu Y, Sun Q, Ji Y, Xue A, Wang Y, Chen W, Yu X, Wang L, et al. 2020. Elimination of senescent cells by β -galactosidase-targeted prodrug attenuates inflammation and restores physical function in aged mice. *Cell Res* **30**: 574-589. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0314-9

Campisi J, Di Fagagna FD. 2007. Cellular senescence: when bad things happen to good cells. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **8**: 729-740. doi: 10.1038/nrm2233

Chang J, Wang Y, Shao L, Laberge RM, Demaria M, Campisi J, Janakiraman K, Sharpless NE, Ding S, Feng W, et al. 2016. Clearance of senescent cells by ABT263 rejuvenates aged hematopoietic stem cells in mice. *Nat Med* **22**: 78-83. doi: 10.1038/nm.4010

Charruyer A, Barland CO, Yue L, Wessendorf HB, Lu Y, Lawrence HJ, Mancianti ML, Ghadially R. 2009. Transit-amplifying cell frequency and cell cycle kinetics are altered in aged epidermis. *J Invest Dermatol* **129**: 2574-2583. doi: 10.1038/jid.2009.127

Chia CW, Sherman-Baust CA, Larson SA, Pandey R, Withers R, Karikkineth AC, Zukley LM, Campisi J, Egan JM, Sen R, et al. 2021. Age-associated expression of p21and p53 during human wound healing. *Aging Cell* **20**: e13354. doi: 10.1111/acel.13354

Chandler H, Peters G. 2013. Stressing the cell cycle in senescence and aging. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **25**: 765-771. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2013.07.005

Chapman J, Fielder E, Passos JF. 2019. Mitochondrial dysfunction and cell senescence: deciphering a complex relationship. *FEBS Lett* **593**: 1566-1579. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.13498

Chiche A, Le Roux I, von Joest M, Sakai H, Aguín SB, Cazin C, Salam R, Fiette L, Alegria O, Flamant P, et al. 2017. Injury-induced senescence enables in vivo reprogramming in skeletal muscle. *Cell Stem Cell* **20**: 407-414. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2016.11.020

Childs BG, Baker DJ, Kirkland JL, Campisi J, Van Deursen JM. 2014. Senescence and apoptosis: dueling or complementary cell fates? *EMBO Rep* **15**: 1139-1153. doi: 10.15252/embr.201439245

Collin G, Huna A, Warnier M, Flaman JM, Bernard D. 2018. Transcriptional repression of DNA repair genes is a hallmark and a cause of cellular senescence. *Cell Death Dis* **9**: 1-4. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-0300-z

Contrepois K, Coudereau C, Benayoun BA, Schuler N, Roux PF, Bischof O, Courbeyrette R, Carvalho C, Thuret JY, Ma Z, et al. 2017. Histone variant H2A. J accumulates in senescent cells and promotes inflammatory gene expression. *Nat Commun* **8**: 1-8. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14995

Coppé JP, Patil CK, Rodier F, Sun YU, Muñoz DP, Goldstein J, Nelson PS, Desprez PY, Campisi J. 2008. Senescence-associated secretory phenotypes reveal cell-nonautonomous functions of oncogenic RAS and the p53 tumor suppressor. *PLoS Biol* **6**: e301. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060301

Covarrubias AJ, Kale A, Perrone R, Lopez-Dominguez JA, Pisco AO, Kasler HG, Schmidt MS, Cristofalo VJ. 2005. SA beta Gal staining: biomarker or delusion. *Exp Gerontol* **40**: 836-838. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2005.08.005

d'Adda di Fagagna F. 2008. Living on a break: cellular senescence as a DNA-damage response. *Nat Rev Cancer* **8**: 512-522. doi: 10.1038/nrc2440

d'Adda di Fagagna F, Reaper PM, Clay-Farrace L, Fiegler H, Carr P, Von Zglinicki T, Saretzki G, Carter NP, Jackson SP. 2003. A DNA damage checkpoint response in telomere-initiated senescence. *Nature* **426**: 194-198. doi: 10.1038/nature02118

da Silva PFL, Ogrodnik M, Kucheryavenko O, Glibert J, Miwa S, Cameron K, Ishaq A, Saretzki G, Nagaraja-Grellscheid S, Nelson G, et al. 2019. The bystander effect contributes to the accumulation of senescent cells in vivo. *Aging Cell* **18**: e12848. doi: 10.1111/acel.12848

Da Silva-Álvarez S, Guerra-Varela J, Sobrido-Cameán D, Quelle A, Barreiro-Iglesias A, Sánchez L, Collado M. 2020. Cell senescence contributes to tissue regeneration in zebrafish. *Aging Cell* **19**: e13052. doi: 10.1111/acel.13052

Davaapil H, Brockes JP, Yun MH. 2017. Conserved and novel functions of programmed cellular senescence during vertebrate development. *Development* **144**: 106-114. doi: 10.1242/dev.138222

Davalos AR, Kawahara M, Malhotra GK, Schaum N, Huang J, Ved U, Beausejour CM, Coppe JP, Rodier F, Campisi J. 2013. p53-dependent release of Alarmin HMGB1 is a central mediator of senescent phenotypes. *J Cell Biol* **201**: 613-629. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201206006

Debacq-Chainiaux F, Erusalimsky JD, Campisi J, Toussaint O. 2009. Protocols to detect senescence-associated beta-galactosidase (SA-βgal) activity, a biomarker of senescent cells in culture and in vivo. *Nature Protoc* **4**: 1798-1806. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2009.191

Demaria M, Desprez PY, Campisi J, Velarde MC. 2015. Cell autonomous and non-autonomous effects of senescent cells in the skin. *J Invest Dermatol* **135**: 1722-1726. doi: 10.1038/jid.2015.108

Demaria M, Ohtani N, Youssef SA, Rodier F, Toussaint W, Mitchell JR, Laberge RM, Vijg J, Van Steeg H, Dollé ME, et al. 2014. An essential role for senescent cells in optimal wound healing through secretion of PDGF-AA. *Dev Cell* **31**: 722-733. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2014.11.012

Dimri GP, Lee X, Basile G, Acosta M, Scott G, Roskelley C, Medrano EE, Linskens MA, Rubelj I, Pereira-Smith O. 1995. A biomarker that identifies senescent human cells in culture and in aging skin in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 92(20):9363-7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.20.9363

Donati G, Watt FM. 2015. Stem cell heterogeneity and plasticity in epithelia. *Cell Stem Cell* **16**: 465-476. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2015.04.014

Dreesen O, Chojnowski A, Ong PF, Zhao TY, Common JE, Lunny D, Lane EB, Lee SJ, Vardy LA, Stewart CL, et al. 2013. Lamin B1 fluctuations have differential effects on cellular proliferation and senescence. *J Cell Biol* **200**: 605-617. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201206121

Eggert T, Wolter K, Ji J, Ma C, Yevsa T, Klotz S, Medina-Echeverz J, Longerich T, Forgues M, Reisinger F, et al. 2016. Distinct functions of senescence-associated immune responses in liver tumor surveillance and tumor progression. *Cancer Cell* **30**: 533-547. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2016.09.003

Elsayed R, Elashiry M, Liu Y, El-Awady A, Hamrick M, Cutler CW. 2021. Porphyromonas gingivalis provokes exosome secretion and paracrine immune senescence in bystander dendritic cells. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **11**: 669989. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.669989

Elzi DJ, Lai Y, Song M, Hakala K, Weintraub ST, Shiio Y. 2012. Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1-insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 cascade regulates stress-induced senescence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: 12052-12057. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1120437109

Eming SA, Martin P, Tomic-Canic M. 2014. Wound repair and regeneration: mechanisms, signaling, and translation. *Sci Transl Med* **6**: 265sr6. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3009337

Erusalimsky JD. 2020. Oxidative stress, telomeres and cellular senescence: What non-drug interventions might break the link? *Free Radic Biol Med* **150**: 87-95. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2020.02.008

Fang M, Wang J, Li S, Guo Y. 2016. Advanced glycation end-products accelerate the cardiac aging process through the receptor for advanced glycation end-products/transforming growth factor-β-Smad signaling pathway in cardiac fibroblasts. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* **16**: 522-527. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12499

Farr JN, Fraser DG, Wang H, Jaehn K, Ogrodnik MB, Weivoda MM, Drake MT, Tchkonia T, LeBrasseur NK, Kirkland JL, et al. 2016. Identification of senescent cells in the bone microenvironment. *J Bone Miner Res* **31**: 1920-1929. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2892

Farr JN, Xu M, Weivoda MM, Monroe DG, Fraser DG, Onken JL, Negley BA, Sfeir JG, Ogrodnik MB, Hachfeld CM, et al. 2017. Targeting cellular senescence prevents age-related bone loss in mice. *Nature Med* **23**: 1072-1079. doi: 10.1038/nm.4385

Feng T, Meng J, Kou S, Jiang Z, Huang X, Lu Z, Zhao H, Lau LF, Zhou B, Zhang H. 2019. CCN1induced cellular senescence promotes heart regeneration. *Circulation* **139**: 2495-2498. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.039530

Ferreira-Gonzalez S, Lu WY, Raven A, Dwyer B, Man TY, O'Duibhir E, Lewis PJ, Campana L, Kendall TJ, Bird TG, et al. 2018. Paracrine cellular senescence exacerbates biliary injury and impairs regeneration. *Nat Commun* **9**: 1-5. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03299-5

Freund A, Laberge RM, Demaria M, Campisi J. 2012. Lamin B1 loss is a senescence-associated biomarker. *Mol Biol Cell* **23**: 2066-2075. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E11-10-0884

Freund A, Orjalo AV, Desprez PY, Campisi J. 2010. Inflammatory networks during cellular senescence: causes and consequences. *Trends Mol Med* **16**: 238-246. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2010.03.003

Freund A, Patil CK, Campisi J. 2011. p38MAPK is a novel DNA damage response-independent regulator of the senescence-associated secretory phenotype. *EMBO J* **30**: 1536-1548. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.69

Georgakopoulou EA, Tsimaratou K, Evangelou K, Fernandez MP, Zoumpourlis V, Trougakos IP, Kletsas D, Bartek J, Serrano M, Gorgoulis VG. 2013. Specific lipofuscin staining as a novel biomarker to detect replicative and stress-induced senescence. A method applicable in cryopreserved and archival tissues. *Aging (Albany NY)* **5**: 37-50. doi: 10.18632/aging.100527

Giacinti C, Giordano A. 2006. RB and cell cycle progression. *Oncogene* **25**: 5220-5227. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1209615

Giangreco A, Qin M, Pintar JE, Watt FM. 2008. Epidermal stem cells are retained in vivo throughout skin aging. *Aging Cell* **7**: 250-259. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2008.00372.x

Gibaja A, Aburto MR, Pulido S, Collado M, Hurle JM, Varela-Nieto I, Magariños M. 2019. TGFβ2-induced senescence during early inner ear development. *Sci Rep* **9**: 5912. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-42040-0

Goldstein S, Moerman EJ, Fujii S, Sobel BE. 1994. Overexpression of plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 in senescent fibroblasts from normal subjects and those with Werner syndrome. *J Cell Physiol* **161**: 571-579. doi: 10.1002/jcp.1041610321

Guest JF, Ayoub N, McIlwraith T, Uchegbu I, Gerrish A, Weidlich D, Vowden K, Vowden P. 2015. Health economic burden that wounds impose on the National Health Service in the UK. *BMJ Open* **5**: e009283. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009283.

Guisado-Clavero M, Roso-Llorach A, López-Jimenez T, Pons-Vigués M, Foguet-Boreu Q, Muñoz MA, Violán C. 2018. Multimorbidity patterns in the elderly: a prospective cohort study with cluster analysis. *BMC Geriatr* **18**: 16. doi: 10.1186/s12877-018-0705-7

Gurtner GC, Werner S, Barrandon Y, Longaker MT. 2008. Wound repair and regeneration. *Nature* **453**: 314-321. doi: 10.1038/nature07039

Gustafson B, Nerstedt A, Smith U. 2019. Reduced subcutaneous adipogenesis in human hypertrophic obesity is linked to senescent precursor cells. *Nat Commun* **10**: 2757. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10688-x

Hall BM, Balan V, Gleiberman AS, Strom E, Krasnov P, Virtuoso LP, Rydkina E, Vujcic S, Balan K, Gitlin I, et al. 2016. Aging of mice is associated with p16 (Ink4a)-and β -galactosidase-positive macrophage accumulation that can be induced in young mice by senescent cells. *Aging (Albany NY)* **8**: 1294-1315. doi: 10.18632/aging.100991

Han G, Ceilley R. 2017. Chronic wound healing: a review of current management and treatments. *Adv Ther* **34**: 599-610. doi: 10.1007/s12325-017-0478-y

Hari P, Millar FR, Tarrats N, Birch J, Quintanilla A, Rink CJ, Fernández-Duran I, Muir M, Finch AJ, Brunton VG, et al. 2019. The innate immune sensor Toll-like receptor 2 controls the senescence-associated secretory phenotype. *Sci Adv* **5**: eaaw0254. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaw0254

Hashimoto M, Asai A, Kawagishi H, Mikawa R, Iwashita Y, Kanayama K, Sugimoto K, Sato T, Maruyama M, Sugimoto M. 2016. Elimination of p19ARF-expressing cells enhances pulmonary function in mice. *JCI Insight* **1**: e87732. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.87732

Hayflick L, Moorhead PS. 1961. The serial cultivation of human diploid cell strains. *Exp Cell Res* **25**: 585-621. doi: 10.1016/0014-4827(61)90192-6

He S, Sharpless NE. 2017. Senescence in health and disease. *Cell* **169**: 1000-1011. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.015

Heckenbach I, Kwok R, Wiley CD, Wong HS. 2020. Senescent cells promote tissue NAD+ decline during ageing via the activation of CD38+ macrophages. *Nat Metab* **2**: 1265-1283. doi: 10.1038/s42255-020-00305-3

Herbig U, Jobling WA, Chen BP, Chen DJ, Sedivy JM. 2004. Telomere shortening triggers senescence of human cells through a pathway involving ATM, p53, and p21CIP1, but not p16INK4a. *Mol. Cell* **14**: 501-513. doi: 10.1016/s1097-2765(04)00256-4

Herranz N, Gallage S, Mellone M, Wuestefeld T, Klotz S, Hanley CJ, Raguz S, Acosta JC, Innes AJ, Banito A, et al. 2015. mTOR regulates MAPKAPK2 translation to control the senescenceassociated secretory phenotype. *Nat Cell Biol* **17**: 1205-1217. doi: 10.1038/ncb3225

Herranz N, Gil J. 2018. Mechanisms and functions of cellular senescence. *J Clin Invest* **128**: 1238-1246. doi: 10.1172/JCI95148

Herrling T, Jung K, Fuchs J. 2006. Measurements of UV-generated free radicals/reactive oxygen species (ROS) in skin. *Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc* **63**: 840-845. doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2005.10.013

Hickson LJ, Langhi Prata LGP, Bobart SA, Evans TK, Giorgadze N, Hashmi SK, Herrmann SM, Jensen MD, Jia Q, Jordan KL, et al. 2019. Senolytics decrease senescent cells in humans: Preliminary report from a clinical trial of Dasatinib plus Quercetin in individuals with diabetic kidney disease. *EBioMedicine* **47**: 446-456. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.08.069

Hiebert P, Wietecha MS, Cangkrama M, Haertel E, Mavrogonatou E, Stumpe M, Steenbock H, Grossi S, Beer HD, Angel P, et al. 2018. Nrf2-mediated fibroblast reprogramming drives cellular senescence by targeting the matrisome. *Dev Cell* **46**: 145-161. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2018.06.012

Hoare M, Ito Y, Kang TW, Weekes MP, Matheson NJ, Patten DA, Shetty S, Parry AJ, Menon S, Salama R, et al. 2016. NOTCH1 mediates a switch between two distinct secretomes during senescence. *Nat Cell Biol* **18**: 979-992. doi: 10.1038/ncb3397

Hohmann MS, Habiel DM, Coelho AL, Verri Jr WA, Hogaboam CM. 2019. Quercetin enhances ligand-induced apoptosis in senescent idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis fibroblasts and reduces lung fibrosis in vivo. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **60**: 28-40. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2017-02890C

Hooten NN, Evans MK. 2017. Techniques to induce and quantify cellular senescence. *J Vis Exp* **123**: e55533. doi: 10.3791/55533

Hsieh HH, Chen YC, Jhan JR, Lin JJ. 2017. The serine protease inhibitor serpinB2 binds and stabilizes p21 in senescent cells. *J Cell Sci* **130**: 3272-3281. doi: 10.1242/jcs.204974

Hu W, Feng Z, Levine AJ. 2012. The regulation of multiple p53 stress responses is mediated through MDM2. *Genes Cancer* **3**: 199-208. doi: 10.1177/1947601912454734

Hudgins AD, Tazearslan C, Tare A, Zhu Y, Huffman D, Suh Y. 2018. Age-and tissue-specific expression of senescence biomarkers in mice. *Front Genet* **9**: 59. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00059

Iannello A, Raulet DH. 2014. Immunosurveillance of senescent cancer cells by natural killer cells. *Oncoimmunology* **3**: e27616. doi: 10.4161/onci.27616

Idda ML, McClusky WG, Lodde V, Munk R, Abdelmohsen K, Rossi M, Gorospe M. 2020. Survey of senescent cell markers with age in human tissues. *Aging (Albany NY)* **12**: 4052-4066. doi: 10.18632/aging.102903

Itahana K, Campisi J, Dimri GP. 2007. Methods to detect biomarkers of cellular senescence: the senescence-associated beta-galactosidase assay. *Methods Mol Biol* **371**: 21-31. doi: 10.1007/978-1-59745-361-5_3

Itahana K, Itahana Y, Dimri GP. 2013. Colorimetric detection of senescence-associated β galactosidase. *Methods Mol Biol* **965**: 143-156 doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-239-1_8

Ivanov A, Pawlikowski J, Manoharan I, van Tuyn J, Nelson DM, Rai TS, Shah PP, Hewitt G, Korolchuk VI, Passos JF, et al. 2013. Lysosome-mediated processing of chromatin in senescence. *J Cell Biol* **202**: 129-143. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201212110

Jackson SP, Bartek J. 2009. The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. *Nature* **461**: 1071-1078. doi: 10.1038/nature08467

Jang YC, Sinha M, Cerletti M, Dall'Osso C, Wagers AJ. 2011. Skeletal muscle stem cells: effects of aging and metabolism on muscle regenerative function. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* **76**: 101-111. doi: 10.1101/sqb.2011.76.010652

Janzen V, Forkert R, Fleming HE, Saito Y, Waring MT, Dombkowski DM, Cheng T, DePinho RA, Sharpless NE, Scadden DT. 2006. Stem-cell ageing modified by the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16 INK4a. *Nature* **443**: 421-426. doi: 10.1038/nature05159

Jenkins NC, Liu T, Cassidy P, Leachman SA, Boucher KM, Goodson AG, Samadashwily G, Grossman D. 2011. The p16 INK4A tumor suppressor regulates cellular oxidative stress. *Oncogene* **30**: 265-274. doi: 10.1038/onc.2010.419

Jeyapalan JC, Ferreira M, Sedivy JM, Herbig U. 2007. Accumulation of senescent cells in mitotic tissue of aging primates. *Mech Ageing Dev* **128**: 36-44. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2006.11.008

Jun JI, Lau LF. 2010. The matricellular protein CCN1 induces fibroblast senescence and restricts fibrosis in cutaneous wound healing. *Nat Cell Biol* **12**: 676-685. doi: 10.1038/ncb2070

Jun JI, Lau LF. 2017. CCN2 induces cellular senescence in fibroblasts. *J Cell Commun Signal* **11**: 15-23. doi: 10.1007/s12079-016-0359-1

Jun JI, Lau LF. 2020. CCN1 is an opsonin for bacterial clearance and a direct activator of Tolllike receptor signaling. *Nat Commun* **11**: 1-5. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15075-5

Jurk D, Wang C, Miwa S, Maddick M, Korolchuk V, Tsolou A, Gonos ES, Thrasivoulou C, Jill Saffrey M, Cameron K, et al. 2012. Postmitotic neurons develop a p21-dependent senescencelike phenotype driven by a DNA damage response. *Aging Cell* **11**: 996-1004. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2012.00870.x

Justice JN, Gregory H, Tchkonia T, LeBrasseur NK, Kirkland JL, Kritchevsky SB, Nicklas BJ. 2018. Cellular senescence biomarker p16INK4a+ cell burden in thigh adipose is associated with poor physical function in older women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci* **73**: 939-945. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glx134

Justice JN, Nambiar AM, Tchkonia T, LeBrasseur NK, Pascual R, Hashmi SK, Prata L, Masternak MM, Kritchevsky SB, Musi N, et al. 2019. Senolytics in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: Results from a first-in-human, open-label, pilot study. *EBioMedicine* **40**: 554-563. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.12.052.

Kang C, Xu Q, Martin TD, Li MZ, Demaria M, Aron L, Lu T, Yankner BA, Campisi J, Elledge SJ. 2015. The DNA damage response induces inflammation and senescence by inhibiting autophagy of GATA4. *Science* **349**: aaa5612. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa5612

Kirkland JL, Tchkonia T. 2020. Senolytic drugs: from discovery to translation. *J Intern Med* **288**: 518-536. doi: 10.1111/joim.13141

Kirschner K, Rattanavirotkul N, Quince MF, Chandra T. 2020. Functional heterogeneity in senescence. *Biochem Soc Trans* **48**: 765-773. doi: 10.1042/BST20190109

Kobayashi Y, Tata A, Konkimalla A, Katsura H, Lee RF, Ou J, Banovich NE, Kropski JA, Tata PR. 2020. Persistence of a regeneration-associated, transitional alveolar epithelial cell state in pulmonary fibrosis. *Nat Cell Biol* **22**: 934-946. doi: 10.1038/s41556-020-0542-8

Koester J, Miroshnikova YA, Ghatak S, Chacón-Martínez CA, Morgner J, Li X, Atanassov I, Altmüller J, Birk DE, Koch M, et al. 2021. Niche stiffening compromises hair follicle stem cell potential during ageing by reducing bivalent promoter accessibility. *Nat Cell Biol* **23**: 771-781. doi: 10.1038/s41556-021-00705-x

Kosar M, Bartkova J, Hubackova S, Hodny Z, Lukas J, Bartek J. 2011. Senescence-associated heterochromatin foci are dispensable for cellular senescence, occur in a cell type-and insult-dependent manner and follow expression of p16ink4a. *Cell Cycle* **10**: 457-468. doi: 10.4161/cc.10.3.14707.

Kowald A, Passos JF, Kirkwood TB. 2020. On the evolution of cellular senescence. *Aging Cell* **19**: e13270. doi: 10.1111/acel.13270

Krishna DR, Sperker B, Fritz P, Klotz U. 1999. Does pH 6 β-galactosidase activity indicate cell senescence? *Mech Ageing Dev*. **109**: 113-123. doi: 10.1016/s0047-6374(99)00031-7

Krishnamurthy J, Ramsey MR, Ligon KL, Torrice C, Koh A, Bonner-Weir S, Sharpless NE. 2006. p16 INK4a induces an age-dependent decline in islet regenerative potential. *Nature* **443**: 453-457. doi: 10.1038/nature05092

Krizhanovsky V, Yon M, Dickins RA, Hearn S, Simon J, Miething C, Yee H, Zender L, Lowe SW. 2008. Senescence of activated stellate cells limits liver fibrosis. *Cell* **134**: 657-667. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.049

Kuilman T, Michaloglou C, Mooi WJ, Peeper DS. 2010. The essence of senescence. *Genes Dev* **24**: 2463-2679. doi: 10.1101/gad.1971610

Lagnado A, Leslie J, Ruchaud-Sparagano MH, Victorelli S, Hirsova P, Ogrodnik M, Collins AL, Vizioli MG, Habiballa L, Saretzki G, et al. 2021. Neutrophils induce paracrine telomere dysfunction and senescence in ROS-dependent manner. *EMBO J* **40**: e106048. doi: 10.15252/embj.2020106048

Lauer G, Sollberg S, Cole M, Krieg T, Eming SA, Flamme I, Stürzebecher J, Mann K. 2000. Expression and proteolysis of vascular endothelial growth factor is increased in chronic wounds. *J Invest Dermatol* **115**: 12-18. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1747.2000.00036.x

Lee BY, Han JA, Im JS, Morrone A, Johung K, Goodwin EC, Kleijer WJ, DiMaio D, Hwang ES. 2006. Senescence-associated β -galactosidase is lysosomal β -galactosidase. *Aging Cell* **5**: 187-195. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2006.00199.x

Lujambio A, Akkari L, Simon J, Grace D, Tschaharganeh DF, Bolden JE, Zhao Z, Thapar V, Joyce JA, Krizhanovsky V, et al. 2013. Non-cell-autonomous tumor suppression by p53. *Cell* **153**: 449-460. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.020

Lutz CT, Moore MB, Bradley S, Shelton BJ, Lutgendorf SK. 2005. Reciprocal age related change in natural killer cell receptors for MHC class I. *Mech Ageing Dev* **126**: 722-731. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2005.01.004

Martien S, Pluquet O, Vercamer C, Malaquin N, Martin N, Gosselin K, Pourtier A, Abbadie C. 2013. Cellular senescence involves an intracrine prostaglandin E2 pathway in human fibroblasts. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids* **1831**: 1217-1227. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2013.04.005

Martin N, Beach D, Gil J. 2014. Ageing as developmental decay: insights from p16INK4a. *Trends Mol Med* **20**: 667-674. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2014.09.008

Martínez-Zamudio RI, Robinson L, Roux PF, Bischof O. 2017. SnapShot: cellular senescence pathways. *Cell* **170**: 816-816. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.049

Mendez MV, Stanley A, Park HY, Shon K, Phillips T, Menzoian JO. 1998. Fibroblasts cultured from venous ulcers display cellular characteristics of senescence. *J Vasc Surg* **28**: 876–883. doi: 10.1016/s0741-5214(98)70064-3

Meyer K, Hodwin B, Ramanujam D, Engelhardt S, Sarikas A. 2016. Essential role for premature senescence of myofibroblasts in myocardial fibrosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **67**: 2018-2028. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.02.047

Mijit M, Caracciolo V, Melillo A, Amicarelli F, Giordano A. 2020. Role of p53 in the regulation of cellular senescence. *Biomolecules* **10**: 420. doi: 10.3390/biom10030420

Moiseeva O, Deschênes-Simard X, St-Germain E, Igelmann S, Huot G, Cadar AE, Bourdeau V, Pollak MN, Ferbeyre G. 2013. Metformin inhibits the senescence-associated secretory phenotype by interfering with IKK/NF-κB activation. *Aging Cell* **12**: 489-498. doi: 10.1111/acel.12075

Molofsky AV, Slutsky SG, Joseph NM, He S, Pardal R, Krishnamurthy J, Sharpless NE, Morrison SJ. 2006. Increasing p16 INK4a expression decreases forebrain progenitors and neurogenesis during ageing. *Nature* **443**: 448-452. doi: 10.1038/nature05091

Moreno-Blas D, Gorostieta-Salas E, Pommer-Alba A, Muciño-Hernández G, Gerónimo-Olvera C, Maciel-Barón LA, Konigsberg M, Massieu L, Castro-Obregón S. 2019. Cortical neurons develop a senescence-like phenotype promoted by dysfunctional autophagy. *Aging (Albany NY)* **11**: 6175-6198. doi: 10.18632/aging.102181

Mosteiro L, Pantoja C, Alcazar N, Marión RM, Chondronasiou D, Rovira M, Fernandez-Marcos PJ, Muñoz-Martin M, Blanco-Aparicio C, Pastor J, et al. 2016. Tissue damage and senescence provide critical signals for cellular reprogramming in vivo. *Science* **354**: aaf4445. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4445

Moura J, Madureira P, Leal EC, Fonseca AC, Carvalho E. 2019. Immune aging in diabetes and its implications in wound healing. *Clin Immunol* **200**: 43-54. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2019.02.002

Muller M, Li Z, Maitz PK. 2009. Pseudomonas pyocyanin inhibits wound repair by inducing premature cellular senescence: role for p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase. *Burns* **35**: 500-508. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2008.11.010

Muñoz DP, Yannone SM, Daemen A, Sun Y, Vakar-Lopez F, Kawahara M, Freund AM, Rodier F, Wu JD, Desprez PY, et al. 2019. Targetable mechanisms driving immunoevasion of

persistent senescent cells link chemotherapy-resistant cancer to aging. *JCl Insight* **4**: e124716. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.124716

Muñoz-Espín D, Cañamero M, Maraver A, Gómez-López G, Contreras J, Murillo-Cuesta S, Rodríguez-Baeza A, Varela-Nieto I, Ruberte J, Collado M, et al. 2013. Programmed cell senescence during mammalian embryonic development. *Cell* **155**: 1104-1118. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.019

Nair RR, Bagheri M, Saini DK. 2015. Temporally distinct roles of ATM and ROS in genotoxicstress-dependent induction and maintenance of cellular senescence. *J Cell Sci* **128**: 342-353. doi: 10.1242/jcs.159517

Narita M, Nuñez S, Heard E, Narita M, Lin AW, Hearn SA, Spector DL, Hannon GJ, Lowe SW. 2003. Rb-mediated heterochromatin formation and silencing of E2F target genes during cellular senescence. *Cell* **113**: 703-716. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00401-x

Narzt MS, Pils V, Kremslehner C, Nagelreiter IM, Schosserer M, Bessonova E, Bayer A, Reifschneider R, Terlecki-Zaniewicz L, Waidhofer-Söllner P, et al. 2021. epilipidomics of senescent dermal fibroblasts identify lysophosphatidylcholines as pleiotropic senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) factors. *J Invest Dermatol* **141**: 993-1006. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2020.11.020

Neurohr GE, Terry RL, Lengefeld J, Bonney M, Brittingham GP, Moretto F, Miettinen TP, Vaites LP, Soares LM, Paulo JA, Harper JW. 2019. Excessive cell growth causes cytoplasm dilution and contributes to senescence. *Cell* **176**: 1083-1097. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.018

Ogrodnik M, Miwa S, Tchkonia T, Tiniakos D, Wilson CL, Lahat A, Day CP, Burt A, Palmer A, Anstee QM, et al. 2017. Cellular senescence drives age-dependent hepatic steatosis. *Nat Commun* **8**:15691. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15691

Ou HL, Schumacher B. 2018. DNA damage responses and p53 in the aging process. *Blood* **131**: 488-495. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-07-746396

Oubaha M, Miloudi K, Dejda A, Guber V, Mawambo G, Germain MA, Bourdel G, Popovic N, Rezende FA, Kaufman RJ, et al. 2016. Senescence-associated secretory phenotype contributes to pathological angiogenesis in retinopathy. *Sci Transl Med* **8**: 362ra144. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf944

Ovadya Y, Landsberger T, Leins H, Vadai E, Gal H, Biran A, Yosef R, Sagiv A, Agrawal A, Shapira A, et al. 2018. Impaired immune surveillance accelerates accumulation of senescent cells and aging. *Nat Commun* **9**: 5435. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07825-3

Paez-Ribes M, González-Gualda E, Doherty GJ, Muñoz-Espín D. 2019. Targeting senescent cells in translational medicine. *EMBO Mol Med* **11**: e10234. doi: 10.15252/emmm.201810234

Palmer AK, Xu M, Zhu Y, Pirtskhalava T, Weivoda MM, Hachfeld CM, Prata LG, van Dijk TH, Verkade E, Casaclang-Verzosa G, et al. 2019. Targeting senescent cells alleviates obesity-induced metabolic dysfunction. *Aging Cell* **18**: e12950. doi: 10.1111/acel.12950.

Passos JF, Nelson G, Wang C, Richter T, Simillion C, Proctor CJ, Miwa S, Olijslagers S, Hallinan J, Wipat A, et al. 2010. Feedback between p21 and reactive oxygen production is necessary for cell senescence. *Mol Syst Biol* **6**: 347. doi: 10.1038/msb.2010.5

Pereira BI, Devine OP, Vukmanovic-Stejic M, Chambers ES, Subramanian P, Patel N, Virasami A, Sebire NJ, Kinsler V, Valdovinos A, et al. 2019. Senescent cells evade immune clearance via HLA-E-mediated NK and CD8+ T cell inhibition. *Nat Commun* **10**: 2387. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10335-5

Pincelli C, Marconi A. 2010. Keratinocyte stem cells: friends and foes. *J Cell Physiol* **225**: 310-315. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22275

Prieur A, Besnard E, Babled A, Lemaitre JM. 2011. p53 and p16 INK4a independent induction of senescence by chromatin-dependent alteration of S-phase progression. *Nat Commun* **2**:473. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1473

Quan T, Qin Z, Xia W, Shao Y, Voorhees JJ, Fisher GJ. 2009. Matrix-degrading metalloproteinases in photoaging. *J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc* **14**: 20-24 doi: 10.1038/jidsymp.2009.8

Reaper PM, Fagagna FD, Jackson SP. 2004. Activation of the DNA damage response by telomere attrition: a passage to cellular senescence. *Cell Cycle* **3**: 541-544. doi: 10.4161/cc.3.5.835

Ressler S, Bartkova J, Niederegger H, Bartek J, Scharffetter-Kochanek K, Jansen-Dürr P, Wlaschek M. 2006. p16INK4A is a robust in vivo biomarker of cellular aging in human skin. *Aging Cell* **5**: 379-389. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2006.00231.x

Rhinn M, Ritschka B, Keyes WM. 2019. Cellular senescence in development, regeneration and disease. *Development* **146**: dev151837. doi: 10.1242/dev.151837

Ritschka B, Storer M, Mas A, Heinzmann F, Ortells MC, Morton JP, Sansom OJ, Zender L, Keyes WM. 2017. The senescence-associated secretory phenotype induces cellular plasticity and tissue regeneration. *Genes Dev* **31**: 172-183. doi: 10.1101/gad.290635.116

Rittié L, Fisher GJ. 2015. Natural and sun-induced aging of human skin. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **5**: a015370. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a015370

Robbins PD, Jurk D, Khosla S, Kirkland JL, LeBrasseur NK, Miller JD, Passos JF, Pignolo RJ, Tchkonia T, Niedernhofer LJ. 2021. Senolytic drugs: Reducing senescent cell viability to extend health span. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **61**: 779-803. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-050120-105018.

Rodier F, Coppé JP, Patil CK, Hoeijmakers WA, Muñoz DP, Raza SR, Freund A, Campeau E, Davalos AR, Campisi J. 2009. Persistent DNA damage signalling triggers senescence-associated inflammatory cytokine secretion. *Nat Cell Biol* **11**: 973-979. doi: 10.1038/ncb1909

Romagosa C, Simonetti S, Lopez-Vicente L, Mazo A, Lleonart ME, Castellvi J, Ramon y Cajal S. 2011. p16 Ink4a overexpression in cancer: a tumor suppressor gene associated with senescence and high-grade tumors. *Oncogene* **30**: 2087-2097. doi: 10.1038/onc.2010.614

Roos CM, Zhang B, Palmer AK, Ogrodnik MB, Pirtskhalava T, Thalji NM, Hagler M, Jurk D, Smith LA, Casaclang-Verzosa G, et al. 2016. Chronic senolytic treatment alleviates established vasomotor dysfunction in aged or atherosclerotic mice. *Aging Cell* **15**: 973-977. doi: 10.1111/acel.12458

Ruhland MK, Loza AJ, Capietto AH, Luo X, Knolhoff BL, Flanagan KC, Belt BA, Alspach E, Leahy K, Luo J, et al. 2016. Stromal senescence establishes an immunosuppressive microenvironment that drives tumorigenesis. *Nat Commun* **7**: 1-8. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11762

Sagiv A, Biran A, Yon M, Simon J, Lowe SW, Krizhanovsky V. 2013. Granule exocytosis mediates immune surveillance of senescent cells. *Oncogene* **32**: 1971-1977. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.206

Sagiv A, Burton DG, Moshayev Z, Vadai E, Wensveen F, Ben-Dor S, Golani O, Polic B, Krizhanovsky V. 2016. NKG2D ligands mediate immunosurveillance of senescent cells. *Aging* (*Albany NY*) **8**: 328-344. doi: 10.18632/aging.100897

Saito N, Araya J, Ito S, Tsubouchi K, Minagawa S, Hara H, Ito A, Nakano T, Hosaka Y, Ichikawa A, et al. 2019. Involvement of lamin B1 reduction in accelerated cellular senescence during chronic obstructive pulmonary disease pathogenesis. *J Immunol* **202**: 1428-1440. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1801293

Serrano M, Lin AW, McCurrach ME, Beach D, Lowe SW. 1997. Oncogenic ras provokes premature cell senescence associated with accumulation of p53 and p16INK4a. *Cell* **88**: 593-602. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81902-9

Severino V, Alessio N, Farina A, Sandomenico A, Cipollaro M, Peluso G, Galderisi U, Chambery A. 2013. Insulin-like growth factor binding proteins 4 and 7 released by senescent cells promote premature senescence in mesenchymal stem cells. *Cell Death Dis* **4**: e911. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.445

Seyfarth F, Schliemann S, Antonov D, Elsner P. 2011. Dry skin, barrier function, and irritant contact dermatitis in the elderly. *Clin Dermatol* **29**: 31-36. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2010.07.004

Shah PP, Donahue G, Otte GL, Capell BC, Nelson DM, Cao K, Aggarwala V, Cruickshanks HA, Rai TS, McBryan T, et al. 2013. Lamin B1 depletion in senescent cells triggers large-scale changes in gene expression and the chromatin landscape. *Genes Dev* **27**: 1787-1799. doi: 10.1101/gad.223834.113

Sharpless NE, Sherr CJ. 2015. Forging a signature of in vivo senescence. *Nat Rev Cancer* **15**: 397-408. doi: 10.1038/nrc3960

Sidler C, Kovalchuk O, Kovalchuk I. 2017. Epigenetic regulation of cellular senescence and aging. *Front Genet* **8**:138. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2017.00138

Sindrilaru A, Peters T, Wieschalka S, Baican C, Baican A, Peter H, Hainzl A, Schatz S, Qi Y, Schlecht A, et al. 2011. An unrestrained proinflammatory M1 macrophage population induced by iron impairs wound healing in humans and mice. *J Clin Invest* **121**: 985-997. doi: 10.1172/JCI44490

Shao AW, Sun H, Geng Y, Peng Q, Wang P, Chen J, Xiong T, Cao R, Tang J. 2016. Bclaf1 is an important NF- κ B signaling transducer and C/EBP β regulator in DNA damage-induced senescence. *Cell Death Differ* **23**: 865-875. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2015.150.

Shaw TJ, Martin P. 2016. Wound repair: a showcase for cell plasticity and migration. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **42**: 29-37. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2016.04.001

Solana R, Campos C, Pera A, Tarazona R. 2014. Shaping of NK cell subsets by aging. *Curr Opin Immunol* **29**: 56-61. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2014.04.002

Song P, An J, Zou MH. 2020. Immune clearance of senescent cells to combat ageing and chronic diseases. *Cells* **9**: 671. doi: 10.3390/cells9030671

Sousa-Victor P, Gutarra S, Garcia-Prat L, Rodriguez-Ubreva J, Ortet L, Ruiz-Bonilla V, Jardi M, Ballestar E, Gonzalez S, Serrano AL et al. 2014. Geriatric muscle stem cells switch reversible quiescence into senescence. *Nature* **506**: 316-321. doi: 10.1038/nature13013

Stanley A., Osler T. 2001. Senescence and the healing rates of venous ulcers. *J Vasc Surg* **33**: 1206–1211. doi: 10.1067/mva.2001.115379

Stegenga ME, Crabben SV, Dessing MC, Pater JM, Van Den Pangaart PS, De Vos AF, Tanck MW, Roos D, Sauerwein HP, Van Der Poll T. 2008. Effect of acute hyperglycaemia and/or hyperinsulinaemia on proinflammatory gene expression, cytokine production and neutrophil function in humans. *Diabet Med* **25**: 157-164. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2007.02348.x

Stojadinovic O, Pastar I, Vukelic S, Mahoney MG, Brennan D, Krzyzanowska A, Golinko M, Brem H, Tomic-Canic M. 2008. Deregulation of keratinocyte differentiation and activation: a hallmark of venous ulcers. *J Cell Mol Med* **12**: 2675-2690. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00321.x

Storer M, Mas A, Robert-Moreno A, Pecoraro M, Ortells MC, Di Giacomo V, Yosef R, Pilpel N, Krizhanovsky V, Sharpe J, et al. 2013. Senescence is a developmental mechanism that contributes to embryonic growth and patterning. *Cell* **155**: 1119-1130. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.041

Sugimoto M, Yamashita R, Ueda M. 2006. Telomere length of the skin in association with chronological aging and photoaging. *J Dermatol Sci* **43**: 43-47. doi: 10.1016/j.jdermsci.2006.02.004

Takahashi A, Ohtani N, Yamakoshi K, Iida SI, Tahara H, Nakayama K, Nakayama KI, Ide T, Saya H, Hara E. 2006. Mitogenic signalling and the p16 INK4a–Rb pathway cooperate to enforce irreversible cellular senescence. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**: 1291-1297. doi: 10.1038/ncb1491

Tasdemir N, Banito A, Roe JS, Alonso-Curbelo D, Camiolo M, Tschaharganeh DF, Huang CH, Aksoy O, Bolden JE, Chen CC, et al. 2016. BRD4 connects enhancer remodeling to senescence immune surveillance. *Cancer Discover* **6**: 612-629. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-16-0217

Terzi MY, Izmirli M, Gogebakan B. 2016. The cell fate: senescence or quiescence. *Mol Biol Rep* **43**: 1213-1220. doi: 10.1007/s11033-016-4065-0

Tominaga K, Suzuki HI. 2019. TGF-β signaling in cellular senescence and aging-related pathology. *Int J Mol Sci* **20**: 5002. doi: 10.3390/ijms20205002

Vande Berg JS, Rudolph R, Hollan C, Haywood-Reid PL. 1998. Fibroblast senescence in pressure ulcers. *Wound Repair Regen* **6**: 38–49. doi: 10.1046/j.1524-475x.1998.60107.x

Velicky P, Meinhardt G, Plessl K, Vondra S, Weiss T, Haslinger P, Lendl T, Aumayr K, Mairhofer M, Zhu X, et al. 2018. Genome amplification and cellular senescence are hallmarks of human placenta development. *PLoS Genet* **14**: e1007698. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007698

Villiard É, Denis JF, Hashemi FS, Igelmann S, Ferbeyre G, Roy S. 2017. Senescence gives insights into the morphogenetic evolution of anamniotes. *Biol Open* **6**: 891-896. doi: 10.1242/bio.025809

Waaijer ME, Parish WE, Strongitharm BH, van Heemst D, Slagboom PE, de Craen AJ, Sedivy JM, Westendorp RG, Gunn DA, Maier AB. 2012. The number of p16INK4a positive cells in human skin reflects biological age. *Aging Cell* **11**: 722-725. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2012.00837.x

Wallis R, Mizen H, Bishop CL. 2020. The bright and dark side of extracellular vesicles in the senescence-associated secretory phenotype. *Mech Ageing Dev* **189**: 111263. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2020.111263

Wang AS, Dreesen O. 2018. Biomarkers of cellular senescence and skin aging. *Front Genet* **9**: 247. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00247

Wang AS, Ong PF, Chojnowski A, Clavel C, Dreesen O. 2017. Loss of lamin B1 is a biomarker to quantify cellular senescence in photoaged skin. *Sci Rep* **7**: 15678. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-15901-9

Wang C, Jurk D, Maddick M, Nelson G, Martin-Ruiz C, Von Zglinicki T. 2009. DNA damage response and cellular senescence in tissues of aging mice. *Aging Cell* **8**: 311-323. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-9726.2009.00481.x

Wang X, Qu M, Li J, Danielson P, Yang L, Zhou Q. 2019. Induction of fibroblast senescence during mouse corneal wound healing. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **60**: 3669-3679. doi: 10.1167/iovs.19-26983

Wilkinson HN, Hardman MJ. 2020a. Wound healing: Cellular mechanisms and pathological outcomes. *Open Biol* **10**: 200223. doi: 10.1098/rsob.200223

Wilkinson HN, Hardman MJ. 2020b. Senescence in wound repair: emerging strategies to target chronic healing wounds. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **8**: 773. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.00773

Wilkinson HN, Hardman MJ. 2021a. A role for estrogen in skin ageing and dermal biomechanics. *Mech Ageing Dev* **25**: 111513. doi: 10.1016/j.mad.2021.111513

Wilkinson HN, Hardman MJ. 2021b. Wound senescence: A functional link between diabetes and ageing? *Exp Dermatol* **30**: 68-73. doi: 10.1111/exd.14082

Wilkinson HN, Clowes C, Banyard KL, Matteuci P, Mace KA, Hardman MJ. 2019a. Elevated local senescence in diabetic wound healing is linked to pathological repair via CXCR2. *J Invest Dermatol* **139**: 1171-1181. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2019.01.005

Wilkinson HN, Roberts ER, Stafford AR, Banyard KL, Matteucci P, Mace KA, Hardman MJ. 2019b. Tissue iron promotes wound repair via m2 macrophage polarization and the chemokine (cc motif) ligands 17 and 22. *Am J Pathol* **189**: 2196-2208. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2019.07.015

Xu M, Bradley EW, Weivoda MM, Hwang SM, Pirtskhalava T, Decklever T, Curran GL, Ogrodnik M, Jurk D, Johnson KO, et al. 2017. Transplanted senescent cells induce an osteoarthritis-like condition in mice. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci* **72**: 780-785. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glw154

Xu M, Pirtskhalava T, Farr JN, Weigand BM, Palmer AK, Weivoda MM, Inman CL, Ogrodnik MB, Hachfeld CM, Fraser DG, et al. 2018. Senolytics improve physical function and increase lifespan in old age. *Nat Med* **24**: 1246-1256. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0092-9

Xu M, Tchkonia T, Ding H, Ogrodnik M, Lubbers ER, Pirtskhalava T, White TA, Johnson KO, Stout MB, Mezera V, et al. 2015. JAK inhibition alleviates the cellular senescence-associated secretory phenotype and frailty in old age. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **112**: E6301-10. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1515386112

Xu S, Wu W, Huang H, Huang R, Xie L, Su A, Liu S, Zheng R, Yuan Y, Zheng HL, et al. 2019. The p53/miRNAs/Ccna2 pathway serves as a novel regulator of cellular senescence: Complement of the canonical p53/p21 pathway. *Aging Cell* **18**: e12918. doi: 10.1111/acel.12918

Xue W, Zender L, Miething C, Dickins RA, Hernando E, Krizhanovsky V, Cordon-Cardo C, Lowe SW. 2007. Senescence and tumour clearance is triggered by p53 restoration in murine liver carcinomas. *Nature* **445**: 656-660. doi: 10.1038/nature05529

Yosef R, Pilpel N, Tokarsky-Amiel R, Biran A, Ovadya Y, Cohen S, Vadai E, Dassa L, Shahar E, Condiotti R, et al. 2016. Directed elimination of senescent cells by inhibition of BCL-W and BCL-XL. *Nat Commun* **7**: 1-1. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11190

Yoshimoto S, Loo TM, Atarashi K, Kanda H, Sato S, Oyadomari S, Iwakura Y, Oshima K, Morita H, Hattori M, et al. 2013. Obesity-induced gut microbial metabolite promotes liver cancer through senescence secretome. *Nature* **499**: 97-101. doi: 10.1038/nature12347

Yousefzadeh MJ, Zhu YI, McGowan SJ, Angelini L, Fuhrmann-Stroissnigg H, Xu M, Ling YY, Melos KI, Pirtskhalava T, Inman CL, et al. 2018. Fisetin is a senotherapeutic that extends health and lifespan. *EBioMedicine* **36**: 18-28. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.09.015

Yun MH, Davaapil H, Brockes JP. 2015. Recurrent turnover of senescent cells during regeneration of a complex structure. *eLife* **4**: e05505. doi: 10.7554/eLife.05505

Zhu YI, Tchkonia T, Pirtskhalava T, Gower AC, Ding H, Giorgadze N, Palmer AK, Ikeno Y, Hubbard GB, Lenburg M, et al. 2015. The Achilles' heel of senescent cells: from transcriptome to senolytic drugs. *Aging Cell* **14**: 644-658. doi: 10.1111/acel.12344

Zou Z, Long X, Zhao Q, Zheng Y, Song M, Ma S, Jing Y, Wang SI, He Y, Esteban CR, et al. 2021. A single-cell transcriptomic atlas of human skin aging. *Dev Cell* **56**: 383-397. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2020.11.002

Zouboulis CC, Baron JM, Böhm M, Kippenberger S, Kurzen H, Reichrath J, Thielitz A. 2008. Frontiers in sebaceous gland biology and pathology. *Exp Dermatol* **17**: 542-551. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0625.2008.00725.x

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Characteristics of senescent cells. Senescent cells undergo cell cycle arrest and feature DNA alterations such as senescence associated heterochromatin foci (SAHF), DNA-SCARS and markers of DNA damage. A disrupted nuclear envelope is accompanied by reduction in the structural nuclear envelope protein, lamin B1, and the release of chromatin into the cytoplasm. Senescent cells show mitochondrial dysfunction, with increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and upregulation of pro-survival (anti-apoptotic) pathways. Morphologically, senescent cells appear flattened and elongated with enlargement of lysosomes, enabling detection by senescence-associated beta galactosidase (SA- β GAL) and lipofuscin. In addition, senescent cells feature a senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP) containing proteases, cytokines, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and extracellular vesicles (ECVs).

Figure 2. Diverse roles for senescence throughout life. Transiently present (short-term) senescence is required during development, tissue regeneration and wound repair. Here,

senescent cells produce a beneficial senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP) that guides developmental patterning and tissue restoration following injury. Effective clearance of senescent cells during these processes prevents chronicity. By contrast, chronological ageing leads to accumulation of cellular stress which drives senescence. Chronic senescence is exacerbated by defective clearance mechanisms and unrestrained inflammation, leading to widespread tissue damage and increased risk of pathology.

Figure 3. Senescence in acute versus chronic wound healing. In acute wounds, transiently present senescent cells appear during late-stage healing, producing a senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP) that aids extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition but prevents tissue fibrosis. Senescent cells are then cleared by the immune system, allowing full tissue resolution. During ageing and diabetes, advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and sterile inflammation promote the accumulation of senescent cells. Following injury, these resident senescent cells contribute to a pro-inflammatory environment that perpetuates senescence, causes tissue breakdown and prevents healing. Senescence (and inflammation) can also be exacerbated by chronic wound infection. AI M\phis = anti-inflammatory macrophages. PI M\phis = pro-inflammatory macrophages.